



Leadership in international context

Dora Longoni, Politecnico di Milano,

EAIE Board Member

TEMPUS IRIS Workshop, August, 28th 2013, Shenkar College, Israel



Project number 530315-TEMPUS-1-2012-1-IL-TEMPUS-JPGR

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission.

This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Leadership in changing times

- Changed demands and increase in performance
- Modernisation of higher education
- EU-project MODERN
- Mapping of
 - Governance
 - Funding
 - Assuring quality in internationalisation
 - Regional innovation
 - Knowledge exchange





MODERN outcomes

• The needs and supply of higher education leadership and management training

- 6 Thematic reports
- 4 Executive reports
- <u>http://highereducationmanagement.eu/activit</u>
 <u>ies/reports</u>





Findings

- University autonomy needs to increase
- Management of universities needs to be more business like without loosing the academic values and influences
- Funding needs to become more diversified
- Better and closer cooperation with regions and regional stakeholders
- Enhanced knowledge transfer
- Competitive quality is needed





Results

- From the EU:
- Supporting growth and jobs an agenda for the modernisation of Europe's higher education systems
- The matter is being discussed by national states
- The matter is being discussed mby university rectors
- Changes are beginning to appear





How to get there

- Training
 - Focus on the younger
 - Focus on the up and coming
- Competence development
 - EFMD, EUA, ACA
 - Universities
 - Custom made
 - etc





Leadership Needs in Higher Education in Australia and Europe

- Joint Research study by EAIE and IEAA
 - 2 phase Delphi methodology
 - Phase 1: online survey
 - Phase 2: senior leaders interviews
- Objective: identify generic and specific leadership capabilities required by the international educators in Australia and Europe





Phase 1: the web questionnaire

- Web-based questionnaire (2012)
 - Respondents: 200 international educators, both from EU and Australia
 - Perceived leadership capabilities for now and the future
 - 8 competing leadership roles identified*:
 - Facilitator, Mentor
 - Innovator, Broker
 - Director, Producer
 - Monitor, Coordinator





Phase 1: the web questionnaire/2

- Objectives:
 - Find differencies between EU and AUS
 - Gaps between capabilities employed and desidered
 - Results

current roles:

Tempus

Table 1. International education leadership profiles, Australia and Europe (descending order; current)

ContinentLeadership profiles in international

- AU facilitatormentor director producerinnovatormonitor broker coordinator
- EU facilitatormentor innovatorproducerdirector monitor broker coordinator

 Significant similarites, exception *director* and innovator





Phase 1: the web questionnaire/3

•	Results for	the optimal	mix of roles:
---	--------------------	-------------	---------------

Table 2. International education leadership profiles, Australia and Europe (descending order; optimal)

ContinentLeadership profiles in international

AU facilitatormentor director innovatormonitor coordinatorbroker producer

EU facilitatorinnovatormonitor director mentor coordinatorbroker producer

• Significant agreement in the order of importance with the exception of the *director/innovator* role





Reflection on the current state of international education

- Final section of the survey on
 - Main benefits of internationalization
 - Key priorities for internationalizing
 - Major obstacles to internationalization





Main benefits of internationalization

Outcomes for society

- global mutual understanding, cross-cultural awareness
- **Outcomes for students**
- Better education, global perspective, open mind set; building of networks; access to glabal networks
- **Outcomes for institutions**
- Better research (AUS), quality assurance (EU), programme improvement, innovativeness, revenue (AUS + UK), capacity building





Key priorities for internationalizing higher education

(in order of importance)

- Mobility of students and staff
- Building institutional relationships, research collaboration (AUS), joint/double degrees (EU)
- Development of an internationalised curriculum
- Cultural awareness, global citizens
- Clear strategy with high level institutional committment
- International accreditation, quality of incoming students (AUS); transaprency and portability (EU)
- Internationalisation at home (EU)
- Adequate funding





Major obstacles to internationalization

- Human, infrastracture, administrative and financial resources
- Government policies and regulations (student visas, AUS)
- Lack of leadership, vision and strategy (EU)
- Mismatch of educational systems (EU)
- Economic imperative: enhancing revenues (AUS)
- Lack of foreign language skills (EU)
- Lack of committment from the top (AUS)
- Attitude of academic staff to internationalization
 - resistance to change
 - problematic relationship between administrative and academic staff
- Inward looking country climate, nationalism





Phase 1: Key findings (EU)

- Leadership roles
 - EU leaders: "facilitator" dominant role
 - "Innovator", "monitor", "director" and "coordinator" roles are those EU leaders would like to play more
 - Phase 2: focus on the above mentioned roles





Phase 1: Key findings/2 (EU)

- Key issues identified
 - Strengthening of international teaching collaboration
 - Lack of resources
 - Barriers to leadership, vision and strategy, lack of awareness of the importance of internationalization
 - Role of academic staff in the process of internationalization





Phase 2: interviews

- 10 senior international education leaders (DK, EST, D, NL, N, SLO, ES, SE, CH, UK)
- 45 min interview on each of the four identified leadership roles
 - Skills and competences needed
 - Obstacles
 - Professional Development





The context

- Economic crisis : major impact on most EU HEIs
- Internationalization a core issue
- Mainstreaming





Phase 2: Findings

- Strengthen capabilities in four dimensions:
 - "Innovator" role
 - "Monitor" role
 - "Coordinator" role
 - "Director" role





The innovator role

- International education leaders: change agents
 - Excellent communication skills
 - More than one language
 - Influence and empower others
 - Take initiative and have a vision
- Obstacles:
 - Change is a threat
 - Country and institutional hierarchy
 - No institutional culture that allows change
- Training needed:
 - Change management, advanced intercultural communication skills





The monitor role

- Focus on internal affairs
 - Stability
 - Accountability
 - Managing performance
- Obstacles:
 - Different priorities
 - Lack of good indicators
- Training needed:
 - Team building and conflict management, HR skills, internal communication





The coordinator role

- Focus on internal stability
 - Scheduling
 - Coordinating and problem solving
- Capacity to delegate and concentrate on real problem solving
- Obstacles
 - Centralised vs decentralised set-up of international services
- Training needed:
 - Project management, systems thinking





The director role

- Goal setting, role clarification, clear expectations
 - Delegating
 - Decision making
- Obstacles: lack of international strategy, unawareness by decision makers
- Training needed: change and strategic management, strategic thinking, diplomatic and political skills





Strengthen international teaching collaboration

- Involving academics in international projects is critical:
 - Good examples to be used as ambassadors
- Obstacles:
 - Professors' workload and lack of funding
 - Non academic staff needs more knowledge on academic program development and delivery
- Strong connections with key players on the academic side





Lack of resources

- An international strategy leads to adequate funding
- Set priorities
- Connect to local and regional business
- Resistance to internationalisation in times of financial restrictions
- Fundraising opportunities





Barriers to leadership and lack of awareness on internationalization

- Organisational position of the International Office
- More research on the outcomes (economics) and benefits of internationalization
- A set of tools for monitoring and measuring internationalization





Academic staff participation

- Academic staff perception of internationalization (mobility)
- Culture of the institution
- Engagement of academics could be fostered by providing incentives
- Opportunities for academics and professional staff to work together and learn form each other
- Administrative staff could improve interpersonal and political skills to identify with academics



